**BILL** # SB 1323 **TITLE:** transportation peace officers; retirement

**SPONSOR:** Mitchell **STATUS:** As Introduced

**REQUESTED BY:** Senate **PREPARED BY:** Timothy Sweeney

	FISCAL YEAR		
	2003	2004	2005
EXPENDITURES			
Other Appropriated Funds	\$-0-	\$687,600 to \$1,184,600	\$687,600 to \$1,184,600

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **Description**

SB 1323 allows certified peace officers employed by the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) to join the Public Safety Personnel Retirement System (PSPRS). Currently ADOT peace officers are members of the Arizona State Retirement System (ASRS).

## **Estimated Impact**

Based on potential PSPRS contribution rates for ADOT peace officers, ADOT would need to contribute an additional 8.3% to 14.3% of peace officer salaries to PSPRS, compared to ADOT's current ASRS contributions. Due to the increased contribution rate, the department's higher retirement contribution would lead to increased Employee Related Expenditures (ERE) costs of between \$687,600 and \$1,186,100. The exact PSPRS contribution rate for ADOT must be actuarially determined. The table below details the potential increases in agency contribution based on various assumptions about the new PSPRS rate, as provided by PSPRS. ADOT does not have any General Fund Personal Services dollars, therefore, this bill would have no General Fund impact. The cost impact of this bill would increase the ERE paid from the State Highway Fund, the Vehicle Inspection and Title Fund, and the Safety Enforcement and Transportation Fund.

Additional Contribution	General	Other Appropriated
Above FY 2004 ASRS Rate	Fund Impact	Funds Impact
8.3%	\$-0-	\$ 687,600
10.3%	-0-	853,300
12.3%	-0-	1,018,900
14.3%	-0-	1,184,600
11.3%	\$-0-	\$936,100
	8.3% 10.3% 12.3% 14.3%	Above FY 2004 ASRS Rate Fund Impact   8.3% \$-0-   10.3% -0-   12.3% -0-   14.3% -0-

ADOT has also performed an analysis of the cost of SB 1323. The agency calculated the expected cost by comparing the expected FY 2004 ASRS contribution rate of 5.7% to an expected PSPRS contribution rate of 17.0%. The ADOT analysis concluded that SB 1323 would cost the agency approximately \$936,100 in FY 2004, based on current peace officer Personal Services.

In general, retirement bills enacted in the 2003 Legislative Session will not have a cost impact until FY 2006, because retirement rates have already been set for both FY 2004 and FY 2005. This bill, however, would have a cost impact in

FY 2004 because some ADOT employees would be switching from one retirement system (ASRS) to another system (PSPRS). Therefore, beginning in FY 2004, the agency would be responsible for the PSPRS contributions, which would be higher than ASRS contributions.

#### **Analysis**

The actual PSPRS contribution rate for ADOT peace officers depends on the age, salaries, and years of service of the ADOT peace officer population. An older population with more years of service will require a larger contribution rate, while a younger population with fewer years of service would require a lesser contribution rate. The contribution rate that will be determined by the PSPRS actuaries will include a normal cost rate of 11.21% (the current normal cost rate for PSPRS) and an additional amount to fund the added liability created by the addition of these ADOT employees.

The PSPRS contribution rate for ADOT peace officers is expected to be between 14.0% and 20.0%. As a result, ADOT would need to contribute an additional 8.3-14.3% of peace officer salaries to PSPRS, compared to current ASRS contributions. The department estimates that the total peace officer payroll for FY 2003 is \$8,284,100. The department's higher retirement contributions would lead to increased ERE costs of between \$687,600 and \$1,184,600 in FY 2004. ADOT estimates that additional funding would be necessary to implement this bill in FY 2004. Additionally, employee contributions under PSPRS will rise from 5.70% to 7.65% (the PSPRS employee contribution rate is set in statute).

# **Local Government Impact**

SB 1323 applies only to ADOT peace officers, and does not impact the overall contribution rate. As a result, there is no local government impact.

3/6/03